

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/651,181

Applicant(s)

DICKINSON ET AL.

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1634

## All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Mike Fuller.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Marc Baumgartner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to more clearly define the reflective coating i.e. deleting the word "layer". The examiner also suggested changing the title to ARRAY COMPOSITIONS FOR IMPROVED SIGNAL DETECTION. Applicant's representatives agreed to the amendments. The amendments will be entered as examiner amendments..



**BJ FORMAN, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**